

## UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/095,84 •	2 06/11/98	ARAKI			VX961463A-PC
— VARNDELL LEGAL GROUP SUITE 220		IM11/1124	一	SZEKEL	AMINER Y , F'
	4 WASHINGTON	I STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRI	A VA 22314			DATE MAILED:	<b>4</b> 11/24/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	Application No.  O95842 Applicant(s)  Francisco Group Art Unit		
Office Action Summary	Examiner Szekely Group Art Unit (714)		
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address		
Period for Response	~		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS S MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE		
from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by def	a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status /			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	6/1/98		
☐ This action is FINAL.			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193:	for formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in 5 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims			
	is/are pending in the application.		
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
□ Claim(s) ( and 3-5	is/are rejected		
□ Claim(s)			
**	requirement.		
Application Papers	. D		
<ul> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li> </ul>			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			
<ul> <li>✓ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un</li> <li>✓ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of</li> </ul>			
received.	08/6/2865		
*Certified copies not received:			
Attachment(s)			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	o(s)3 □ Interview Summary, PTO-413		
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	Other		
Office	Action Summary		

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97) Art Unit: 1714

## Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

> The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a polymerization system containing a fluorine containing surfactant and a nonfluorinated surfactant, does not reasonably provide enablement for a system containing a fluorinated surfactant only. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. On page 4, lines 13-21 the specification clearly states that in order for particle size to be decrease to not more than 200 nm, by adding a non-ionic non-fluorine-containing surfactant on top of the fluorine containing surfactant. On page 6, the specification uses the term "usually necessary" but there is no statement or Example showing the "unusual" situation. Comparative Example 5, uses the same system as Example 3, which as 0.05g polyoxyethylene lauryl ether in the receip? The rejection is maintained.

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186 (1894); In re Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

Double Patenting

Art Unit: 1714

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 4. Claims 3-5 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 3, 5 and 6 of copending Application No. 08/612,865. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Szekely whose telephone number is (703) 308-2460. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 7:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on (703) 306-2777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-5433 or 305-5408.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Peter Szekely:cb Primary Examiner

November 23, 1998

PETER A. SZEKELY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500